```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     VS.
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                       THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
     VALERIE HARDWOOD, PhD, produced as a witness on
16
     behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and
17
     numbered cause, taken on the 18th day of July, 2008,
18
     in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of
19
     Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified
20
     Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by
21
     virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
```

2425

1	Foods.
2	VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The witness may
3	be sworn in.
4	VALERIE HARWOOD
5	having first been duly sworn to testify the truth,
6	the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified
7	as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. TODD:
10	Q Good morning, Professor Harwood. How are you? 09:06AM
11	A Fine, thanks.
12	Q Good. Now, this is the third time you've
13	given testimony in this case. You've been deposed
14	previously and you testified at the preliminary
15	injunction hearing. That's right? 09:06AM
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Okay. Just quickly, the same ground rules as
18	we used before. I will attempt to ask clear
19	questions and if you don't understand my question,
20	please let me know so that you're answering the 09:07AM
21	question that I'm asking. Okay?
22	A Okay.
23	Q And remember to give verbal answers so they
24	can be recorded. And I will attempt to use
25	technical terms correctly, but you obviously are 09:07AM

	1
	١
	٠

1	A	Of course, I've done some additional data	
2	analysis for the report.		
3	Q	Right, and you submitted a report?	
4	A	Correct.	
5	Q	We talked at your last deposition you	09:09AM
6	talked	d at your last deposition a bit about fate and	
7	transport, and let me just run through some		
8	characteristics here, and I hope we can take care of		
9	these pretty quickly. Since your prior deposition,		
10	have y	you conducted any study of the fate and	09:09AM
11	transport characteristics of any bacterium in the		
12	Illino	ois River watershed?	
13	A	No, I have not.	
14	Q	So you have not studied how bacteria is	
15	affect	ted by temperature?	09:09AM
16	A	No.	
17	Q	Desiccation?	
18	A	No.	
19	Q	Predation?	
20	A	No.	09:09AM
21	Q	Osmotic pressure?	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	UV exposure?	
24	A	No.	
25	Q	pH balance?	09:09AM
	1		

1	being soil samples from a Peterson contract grower's		
2	farm?		
3	A No, I can't.		
4	Q Can you identify the soil samples listed on		
5	Exhibit 12 as being from any contract grower for any 02:34PM		
6	of the defendants in this case?		
7	A I don't have any knowledge of which samples		
8	correspond to which of the growers.		
9	Q What about with regards to the litter; can you		
10	identify which of the samples go with which specific 02:34PM		
11	defendant contract grower?		
12	A Not off the top of my head, although that data		
13	is available, but I can't do it right here.		
14	Q With regard to the edge of field samples, the		
15	EOF and the EOF SPREAD samples, could you identify 02:35PM		
16	which properties and which property owners those		
17	samples were taken adjacent to?		
18	A Do you mean could I do that right now?		
19	Q Well, do you know?		
20	A No. Do I 02:35PM		
21	Q Do you have that information within your		
22	files?		
23	A I believe I have it in my files, but I know I		
24	could obtain it from CDM if I needed to get it.		
25	Q With regard to your findings of the biomarker 02:35PM		

1	in the water samples within the Illinois River
2	watershed, can you specifically trace back that
3	biomarker to any particular defendants' contract
4	growers farm?
5	A Could you repeat that? 02:35PM
6	Q Sure. Actually I may just have the court
7	reporter repeat it.
8	(Whereupon, the court reporter read
9	back the previous question.)
10	A I think that would be possible to do within 02:36PM
11	the soil samples and edge of field samples, but once
12	it had got farther away, then it's going to be
13	potentially generalized contributions from a lot of
14	different places. So then once it's out in the
15	surface water or the groundwater, I don't see how it 02:36PM
16	could be traced back to a specific grower,
17	considering that there's a lot of different ones in
18	the watershed.
19	Q So the answer is, no, you could not do that?
20	A Except I think, again, in the soil sample or 02:36PM
21	an edge of field sample if it was associated with a
22	grower.
23	Q But the question was with regards to water.
24	So with regards to the water samples, you cannot
25	specifically identify which the poultry litter 02:37PM